THE PRESENT STATUS OF ENDouroLOGIC SURGERY
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Over the last two decades we have witnessed several revolutions in which new treatment modalities replaced traditional, open surgery. First, transurethral resection of the prostate replaced open prostatectomy as the gold standard in the treatment of bladder outlet obstructions. Second, extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy became the first choice in the surgical treatment of urinary calculi. Third, endourologic surgery, which includes endoscopic and laparoscopic procedures, offers patients with various urologic diseases a number of advantages over traditional, open procedures. The most important difference is that endourological surgery does not require the long skin and muscle incisions and sometimes even rib resections of open surgery (see Fig. 1). These incisions result in long-term dysfunction of the muscles and sometimes muscle atrophy as well as much pain in the early post-operative period (Fig. 2, 3, 4). The main advantages of endoscopic surgery for the patient are less post-operative pain, an earlier recovery, and an earlier return to normal activity. The shorter hospital stay and earlier convalescence reduce not only the direct medical cost but also the economic loss from long-term morbidity. For these reasons,
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Figure 1 Operative wound in patients undergoing open pyeloplasty (left), laparoscopic pyeloplasty (middle), and percutaneous endopyeloureterotomy (right).

Correspondence: Yoshinari Ono, MD, PhD, Department of Urology, Nagoya University School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan
Open surgery

affected side
unaffected side

Laparoscopic surgery

affected side
unaffected side

Figure 2  Electromyography of the rectus muscle in a patient who underwent open, radical nephrectomy 6 months earlier (upper two lines) and in a patient who underwent laparoscopic radical nephrectomy 6 months earlier (lower two lines).

Figure 3  Computed tomography at the level of the kidney in a patient who underwent left, open, radical nephrectomy 3 years earlier. The rectus muscle is very thin on the left side, which indicates muscle atrophy.
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Figure 4  Doses of analgesics in the early post-operative period, (37) and (38).
endourological surgery has become a generally accepted procedure that will further develop and replace more open procedures in the future.

We describe the present status of endourologic surgery.

1. Endoscopic Surgery for The Upper Urinary Tract

In the traditional reconstructive surgery for the upper urinary tract, pyeloplasty and renal descensus with pyelo-ureterostomy or uretero-ureterostomy were used to relieve ureteropelvic junction obstructions and the upper ureteral strictures, while Boari bladder flaps and psoas bladder hitches with vesicoureteral anastomosis were used to relieve lower ureteral strictures. Autotransplantation and ileal substitution were also used in patients with extensive ureteral stenoses. These procedures were carried out through a 20 to 40 cm-long flank incision. Endoscopic surgery, on the other hand, does not require any large incision.

_Ureteropelvic Junction Obstruction and Upper Ureteral Stricture_

In endoscopic surgery for these two impediments, there are two access routes: the percutaneous and the transurethral approach. Percutaneous endopyeloureterotomy was derived from percutaneous nephrolithotripsy, which had been named "percutaneous pyelolysis"; it was first performed by Wickman and associates in the early 1980s (1). They made a full-thickness incision in the upper ureter and ureteropelvic junction using a 21 F endoscopic urethrotome, which was passed through a percutaneous nephrostomy tract. The splint tube and diverting proximal nephrostomy drain remained in place for 1 month. They successfully treated 5 of 7 patients. Smith and Badlani in the United States, Korth in Germany, and Van Cangh in Belgium followed them. Motala and Smith recently reported the results of 212 endopyelotomies on 208 consecutive patients. After a followed-up 0.5 to 8 years they reported a 86% overall success rate and concluded that percutaneous endopyelotomy was a sensible alternative to open pyeloplasty for primary ureteropelvic junction obstruction (2). Kuenkel and Korth reported an overall success rate of 77% in 143 patients and described the procedure as safe while achieving similar long-term results as open pyeloplasty, and being preferable overall (3). Van Cangh and associates reported an overall, long-term success rate of 73% in 102 consecutive patients followed-up for 1 to 10 years. They warned of high failure and/or recurrence rates in high-grade hydronephrosis patients who had a vessel crossing the ureteropelvic junction.

In Japan, Tajima and associates initiated endopyelotomy in 1986 (4). In 1988, we developed a new endopyelotomy technique, which was endopyeloureterotomy via the transpelvic extrarenal approach (Fig. 5). It allows an incision of sufficient depth and length to be made in the stenotic segment of the ureter, while an incision of the renal pelvic wall will facilitate the reconstruction of a funnel-shaped ureteropelvic junction (5). We recently reported an overall, long-term success rate of 89% in 152 patients and recommended our technique for patients with ureteropelvic junction obstruction as well as upper ureteral stricture and even patients with a more than 2 cm-long stenotic segment (6).

Only a few studies have focused on percutaneous endopyelotomy in children. Maeda and associates reported a success rate was 83% in 12 children, aged from 1 to 14 years, with ureteropelvic junction obstruction (7). Kavoussi and associates reported a success rate of 100% in 4 children aged from 2 months to 5 years (8). Both groups concluded that percutaneous endopyelotomy can be used in children.

Transurethral ureteronephroscopic endopyelotomy for ureteropelvic junction obstruction was first performed by Inglis and Tolley in 1986 (9). They successfully treated two patients. The procedure has not been performed in a large patient (Tab. 2). Clayman and associates reported a success rate of 90% in 10 patients (10). They found that the procedure was less invasive than
Table 1  Results of endopyeloureterotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. Pts.</th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
<th>Followup (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motola et al. 1993</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.5–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuenkel et al. 1990</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>81%*1</td>
<td>–3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Cangh et al. 1994</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>73%*2</td>
<td>1–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ono et al. 1997</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>90%*1</td>
<td>0.5–9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 primary disease, *2 secondary disease

Table 2  Results of laparoscopic simple nephrectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. Pts.</th>
<th>Operating Time (hours)</th>
<th>Estimated Blood Loss (ml.)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>No. With Conversion to Open Surgery</th>
<th>Hospital Stay (days)</th>
<th>Convalescence (wks.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs et al. 1995</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.9–6</td>
<td>50–500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10*1</td>
<td>4.5–8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eraky et al. 1996</td>
<td>137*2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35*3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ono et al. 1997</td>
<td>33*2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32*3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 bilateral laparoscopic nephrectomy, *2 transperitoneal approach, *3 retroperitoneal approach
percutaneous endopyelotomy; both techniques eliminated the need for long-term nephrostomy. Gallucci and associates reported a success rate of 82% in 11 patients with secondary ureteropelvic junction stenosis (11), and Chowdhury and Kenogbon reported a similar success rate of 86% in 14 patients (12).

In summary, percutaneous endopyeloureterotomy has become the first choice of treatment modality for ureteropelvic junction obstruction and upper ureteral stenosis except in infants. Transurethral ureteroscopic endopyelotomy is still not fully appreciated although it is less invasive. In ureteropelvic junction obstruction, it is controversial whether a vessel crossing the ureteropelvic junction is a risk to the success of endopyeloureterotomy or not. Most authors believe that only a few of the crossing vessels can in fact cause an obstruction.

**Lower Ureteral Stricture**

Ureteral stricture is still less common than ureteropelvic junction obstruction, but it has become a more important problem because of increases in extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and open or endoscopic surgery on the upper urinary tract.

In the early 1980s, some investigators used a balloon dilation technique to re-establish normal urinary drainage in patients with ureteral stricture. When this technique did not yield satisfactory long-term results, it was abandoned. Thereafter, transurethral ureteroscopic endoureterotomy has been used most often to relieve ureteral strictures. In 1987, Schuller and associates first reported the success of the procedure in 8 of 9 patients followed-up for a mean of 12 months. Meretyk and Clayman reported a success rate of 62% in 13 patients followed-up for 12 months or longer. They suggested that a full-thickness incision should be extended for 1–2 cm above and below the stricture to the point where the ureter reaches its normal lesion (13). In Japan, Takeuchi and associates performed the procedure in 25 patients and reported a success rate of 80% (14). Yamada and Ono developed a procedure consisting of transurethral ureteroscopic balloon dilation of the stenosis followed by ureteroscopic ureterotomy. They performed it 20 times in 19 patients and reported a success rate of 85% after a mean follow-up of 18 months (15).

More recently, Chandhoke and Clayman developed a new dilating balloon catheter with a fine electrocautery cutting wire for the retrograde incision of the ureter under fluoroscopic control (16). In this procedure it is not necessary to establish a nephrostomy tract nor insert a ureteroscope. They reported a success rate of 85% in 28 patients followed-up for 3 to 9 months. This is a very attractive technique because it is minimally invasive and highly successful.

In summary, different modalities have been developed for relieving lower ureteral strictures; they all deserve further evaluation.

2. Laparoscopic Surgery for the Kidney and Adrenal Gland

**Laparoscopic Nephrectomy for Benign Disease**

Laparoscopic nephrectomy was first performed by Clayman and associates in June 1990 (17). This was the first time that a parenchymal organ was removed in a laparoscopic procedure. The kidney was dissected transperitoneally and entrapped in an impermeable nylon sack that was positioned in the working space. After it had been morcellated within the sack with a tissue morcellator, the sack was successfully removed through a port without any major incision. Since then, Kerbl and Kavoussi (18) and Fuchs (19) in the United States, Coptcoat in England (20), Rassweiler in Germany (21), Eraky in Egypt (22), Gaur in India (23), Katoh and Ono (24) and Matsuda and Terachi (25) in Japan have removed kidneys in this way.

To date, over 500 laparoscopic nephrectomies have been performed for all types of benign kidney disease necessitating its surgical removal. This has included small or non-inflamed
kidneys in patients with renovascular and renal hypertension and non-functioning, hydronephrotic kidneys with and without repeated pyelonephritis. However, in patients with xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis, the laparoscopic procedure has often had to be converted to an open procedure because the renal hilum could not be dissected safely.

Several reports describe the results of laparoscopic simple nephrectomy for benign disease. Kerbl and Kavoussi compared their first 20 transperitoneal procedures with 23 open procedures (18). Laparoscopic surgery had a longer mean operative time than open surgery (5.9 vs. 2.7 hours), but a significantly shorter post-operative hospital stay (3.7 days vs. 1.8 months) and an earlier full recovery (7.3 days vs. 9.8 months). Katoh and Ono reported the results of 26 transperitoneal procedures (24). Their mean operative time was 4.4 hours, mean estimated blood loss was 454 ml, conversion to open surgery was necessary in 4 patients (16%), and mean time to full convalescence was 18 days. Rassweiler and associates also reported the results of 24 transperitoneal procedures (21). Their mean operative time was 4 hours, mean estimated blood loss was 225 ml, and conversion to open surgery was required in 4 patients (17%). Coptcoat and associates reported the results of another 24 transperitoneal procedures (20). Their mean operative time was 3.5 hours, conversion to open surgery was necessary in 2 patients (7%), and mean time to full convalescence was 1.7 weeks. An important improvement in laparoscopic nephrectomy was the development of the retroperitoneal approach by Gaur in 1992 (23). A retroperitoneal working space is established by dilating a balloon. This method facilitates the laparoscopic identification of the retroperitoneal structures and faster dissection. McDougall and Clayman compared 20 transperitoneal with 9 retroperitoneal procedures (26) and concluded that the two approaches were on a par. We also reported the results of 20 retroperitoneal procedures (27). Our mean operative time was 3.3 hours and mean estimated blood loss was 135 ml. We found the retroperitoneal approach to be superior to the transperitoneal approach in laparoscopic simple nephrectomy. The group of McDougall and Clayman as well as ours noted that the retroperitoneal approach should not be used in an obese patient.

In summary, laparoscopic simple nephrectomy has become the first choice of treatment modality for removing kidneys with benign disease except in patients with xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis.

Laparoscopic Adrenalectomy

This type of laparoscopic surgery was first performed on a patient with primary aldosteronism by Go and Takeda in January 1992 (28). Since then, Gagner in Canada (29), Higashihara (30), Suzuki (31) and Terachi (32) in Japan, and Guazmoni in Italy (33) have removed adrenal tumors in patients with primary aldosteronism, Cushing syndrome, and recently, pheochromocytoma. To date, over 600 laparoscopic adrenalectomies have been performed; recent data are shown in Table 3. Terachi and Matsuda reported their results of 100 transperitoneal procedures (32). Their mean operative time was 4 hours, mean estimated blood loss was 68 ml, and the rate of complications was 4%. They recommended the procedure for adrenal tumors of less than 6 cm in size. Suzuki and associates reported their results of 60 transperitoneal and retroperitoneal adrenalectomies (31). Mean time of return to normal activity was 1.5 weeks. Guazmoni and associates performed transperitoneal adrenalectomy on 15 patients (33). Their mean operative time was 3 hours, mean estimated blood loss was 100 ml, and mean time to full convalescence was 1.4 weeks. Comparing this with 15 open adrenalectomies, they found that the laparoscopic procedure yielded shorter hospital stays and earlier convalescences.

In summary, laparoscopic adrenalectomy has become the first choice of treatment modality for removing benign adrenal tumors of less than 5–6 cm in diameter. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma is still controversial.
Table 3 Results of laparoscopic adrenalectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Pts.</th>
<th>Operating Time (hours)</th>
<th>Estimated Blood Loss (ml.)</th>
<th>Convalescence (wks.)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Takeda et al. 1994</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guazzonei et al. 1995</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terachi et al. 1997</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al. 1997</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ono et al. 1997</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laparoscopic Radical Nephrectomy for Renal Cell Carcinoma

Radical nephrectomy has been the standard treatment modality for localized renal cell carcinoma since Robson introduced it in 1963. It includes the ligation of the renal vessels, the removal of the kidney and adrenal gland together with perirenal fatty tissue and Gerota’s fascia, and the dissection of lymph nodes. We first performed this procedure laparoscopically in July 1992 (34, 35, 36); McDougall and Clayman started a laparoscopic radical nephrectomy program in August 1992 (37). In the laparoscopic procedure, the renal vessels are ligated after early identification of the ureter and cephalad dissection along the great vessels without the tumor being manipulated while the removal of the kidney in en bloc fashion posed no technical difficulty, laparoscopic lymph node dissection, particularly in right-sided cases, did in the early period. Laparoscopy was therefore available only for small renal cell carcinomas of less than 5 cm in diameter, which do not normally have lymph node involvement. A laparoscopic technique has recently been developed for the dissection of paraaortic lymph nodes in the right nephrectomy that includes the transection of the right lumbar vein and the medial retraction of the vena cava (38). Laparoscopy is now available for medium-sized, localized renal cell carcinomas of 5 to 8 cm in diameter.

McDougall and Clayman summarized their experience reporting on 17 patients who underwent laparoscopic radical nephrectomy and comparing them with 12 patients who underwent open radical nephrectomy (37). The laparoscopic procedure required significantly more operative time than the open procedure nephrectomy (6.9 vs. 2.2 hours), but it caused less postoperative pain, afforded an earlier discharge from the hospital (4.5 vs. 8.4 days), and an earlier full recovery (3.5 vs. 5.1 weeks). During the 4-year period from 1992 to 1996, there was no local recurrence or seeding at the port sites. They concluded that laparoscopic radical nephrectomy was a lengthy and demanding but beneficial procedure. We summarized our experience reporting on 25 patients who underwent laparoscopic radical nephrectomy, 11 of them via the transperitoneal and 14 via the retroperitoneal approach and comparing them with 17 patients who underwent open radical nephrectomy (38). Mean operative time for the laparoscopic procedures was 5.5 hours, 6 hours for the transperitoneal and 4.9 hours for the retroperitoneal approach, against only 3.6 hours for the open procedure. However, mean estimated blood loss was only 335 ml in the laparoscopic procedure, 397 ml in the transperitoneal and 285 ml in the retroperitoneal approach against 474 ml in the open procedure. Laparoscopic surgery had a
higher complication rate than open surgery (20% vs. 13%), but it afforded a shorter post-operative hospital stay (11 vs. 24 days) and an earlier full convalescence (3.3 vs. 9.1 weeks). During our 4-year follow-up period, there was no metastatic disease, no local recurrence and no seeding at the port sites. We concluded that laparoscopic radical nephrectomy was a recommendable procedure for removing small renal cell carcinomas. While the retroperitoneal approach should be taken when the patient has undergone previous, abdominal surgery or when the patient's condition makes a shorter operative time crucial. The transperitonal approach is now been taken more often.

To date, over 200 laparoscopic radical nephrectomy have been performed worldwide, as shown in Table 4. Barrett and associates reported on 60 transperitoneal procedures (39). Their mean operative time was 2.5 hours, in 5 cases they converted to open surgery. Complications were minimal: 3 patients required transfusion another 3 had prolonged ileus, 1 patient had wound infection, and another 1 had a retraction injury. Mean post-operative hospital stay was only 4.4 days. There were no local recurrences, and only one patient had lung metastasis during up to 54 months of follow-up. Clayman and associates reported on a total of 47 procedures. Their mean operative time was 6 hours, mean estimated blood loss was 250 ml, in 3 cases they converted to open surgery, and the rate of complications was 10%. Mean post-operative hospital stay was also only 4.4 days, and mean time to full recovery was 3.5 weeks. There was no seeding at the port sites, no local recurrence, and only one patient had ureteral metastasis during up to 60 months of follow-up. We reported the long-term results of 62 procedures. There was no seeding at the port sites, no local recurrence, and only one patient had lung metastasis during up to 64 months of follow-up.

In summary, laparoscopic radical nephrectomy has numerous benefits to the patient with localized renal cell carcinoma. It provides a better long-term prognosis than open radical nephrectomy, but it is necessary to make a long-term prognosis for the original disease in the patient to ensure the efficacy of the procedure.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Results of laparoscopic radical nephrectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. Pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taschda et al. 1995</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill et al. 1995</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ono et al. 1997</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayman et al. 1997</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett et al. 1997</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a case with lung metastasis, ** a case with ureteral metastasis
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